

Open Access Review



Anti-amyloid β hydrophobic peptides in Alzheimer's disease: biomarkers and therapeutic potential

Carlos Gutierrez-Merino*

Instituto de Biomarcadores de Patologías Moleculares, Universidad de Extremadura, 06006 Badajoz, Spain

*Correspondence: Carlos Gutierrez-Merino, Instituto de Biomarcadores de Patologías Moleculares, Universidad de Extremadura, 06006 Badajoz, Spain. biocgm@gmail.com Academic Editor: Ryszard Pluta, Medical University of Lublin, Poland Received: December 19, 2024 Accepted: February 10, 2025 Published: February 24, 2025

Cite this article: Gutierrez-Merino C. Anti-amyloid β hydrophobic peptides in Alzheimer's disease: biomarkers and therapeutic potential. Explor Neurosci. 2025;4:100672. https://doi.org/10.37349/en.2025.100672

Abstract

Intracellular amyloid β oligomers (A β Os) have been linked to Alzheimer's disease (AD) pathogenesis and to the neuronal damage in this neurodegenerative disease. Calmodulin, which binds A β O with very high affinity, plays a pivotal role in A β -induced neurotoxicity and has been used as a model template protein for the design of A β O-antagonist peptides. The hydrophobic amino acid residues of the COOH-terminus domain of A β play a leading role in its interaction with the intracellular proteins that bind A β O with high affinity. This review focuses on A β -antagonist hydrophobic peptides that bind to the COOH-terminus of A β and their endogenous production in the brain, highlighting the role of the proteasome as a major source of this type of peptides. It is emphasized that the level of these hydrophobic endogenous neuropeptides undergoes significant changes in the brain of AD patients relative to age-matched healthy individuals. It is concluded that these neuropeptides may become helpful biomarkers for the evaluation of the risk of the onset of sporadic AD and/or for the prognosis of AD. In addition, A β -antagonist hydrophobic peptides that bind to the COOH-terminus of A β seem a priori good candidates for the development of novel AD therapies, which could be used in combination with other drug-based therapies. Future perspectives and limitations for their use in the clinical management of AD are briefly discussed.

Keywords

Amyloid β , Alzheimer's disease, hydrophobic peptides, proteasome, endogenous neuropeptides, biomarkers, therapy

Introduction

The 2022 world Alzheimer's report [1] pointed out the importance of early detection and diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease (AD), as nearly 75% of individuals with dementia are not diagnosed globally. The histopathological hallmarks of AD are the extracellular amyloid β (A β) plaques and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles [2]. A β monomers aggregate to form low molecular weight oligomers (dimers, trimers, tetramers, and pentamers), mid-range molecular weight oligomers (hexamers, nonamers, and

© The Author(s) 2025. This is an Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



dodecamers), protofibrils, and fibrils [3]. Of special relevance for the main aims of this work is that the hydrophobic C-terminal of the A β plays a critical role in triggering the transformation from α -helical to β -sheet structure present in high-order aggregation states of A β found in AD [4]. Guo et al. [5] reported that A β and tau form soluble complexes that may promote self-aggregation of both into the insoluble forms observed in AD, and that middle and C-terminal A β regions provide the A β amino acid residues more strongly binding to tau, namely, peptide sequences A $\beta(11-16)$, A $\beta(27-32)$, and A $\beta(37-42)$. Therefore, A β :tau complexes can be formed when intracellular free A β monomers/oligomers reach concentrations in the saturation range for the formation of these complexes. Moreover, Guo et al. [5] hypothesized that in AD the intracellular binding of soluble A β to soluble no phosphorylated tau promotes tau phosphorylation and A β nucleation, and proposed that blocking the sites where A β initially binds to tau might arrest the simultaneous formation of plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in AD. Other molecular mechanisms linking A β and tau pathology are reviewed in [6]. Also, it should be noted that the release of intracellular A β oligomers (A β OS) and neurofibrillary tangles is likely to potentiate A β plaque formation.

The neurotoxic A β peptide A β (1–42), which is found in higher concentrations in the brain of AD patients and associated with Aβ plaques [7, 8], is produced from the amyloid precursor protein (APP) by the so-called Amyloidogenic Pathway through the sequential activity of β -site APP cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1) and γ -secretase [9]. Indeed, an enhanced activity of BACE1 and a shift towards the amyloidogenic pathway of APP processing has been reported to be linked to several factors known to foster the neurodegeneration in AD-affected brains, like iron dyshomeostasis [10–12], brain oxidative stress [13–15], hypercholesterolemia [16–18], and brain hypoxia [19]. Furthermore, Aβ plaques and neurofibrillary tangles contain high concentrations of iron and Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} interactions with APP and A β speed up A β aggregation into fibrillar forms [12, 14, 20]. Nevertheless, nearly all BACE1 inhibitors used as candidate therapeutic agents in AD have failed in later phases of clinical trials, due to safety and/or efficacy issues, and others were discontinued early in favor of second-generation small-molecule candidates [21]. Thus, exploration of alternate approaches to reducing Aβ toxicity seems a timely issue. Indeed, it has been noted recently that phytochemicals like indole-3 carbinol and diindolylmethane that inhibit Aβ-induced neurotoxicity, A β self-aggregation, and acetylcholinesterase enzyme activity show anti-AD effects [22]. Another novel potential therapeutic target for AD is the attenuation of signaling pathways leading to $A\beta$ overproduction, such as the WNT- β catenin signaling [23]. As reviewed in [23], the dickkopf WNT signaling pathway inhibitor 1 (DKK1), which promotes A β production and synapse degradation through downregulating WNT-β catenin signaling, colocalizes in neurofibrillary tangles and dystrophic neurites in post-mortem AD brains.

The shortest A β (1–42)-derived peptide that retains the toxicity of the full-length peptide is A β (25–35) [24], and this experimental observation is of relevance for the identification of peptides that can antagonize the actions of neurotoxic A^β peptides. Cumulative experimental evidence shows that intracellular A^βOs are linked to AD pathogenesis and are the cause of neuronal damage [25–27]. Indeed, the metabolic and neurotoxic effects of $A\beta(1-42)$ have been linked with neuronal uptake of $A\beta O$ and the subsequent intracellular rise of their concentration [26–28]. Furthermore, it has been proposed that amyloid plagues can be considered reservoirs of A β neurotoxic species [29]. As anti-A β antibodies are expected to trap only extracellular A β , this could, at least in part, account for the limited and partial protection reported for aducanumab treatment in AD [30]. It has been shown that binding of extracellular A β (1–42) to lipid rafts of the plasma membrane elicits its oligomerization (to dimers or trimers) and uptake by the neuronal cells in culture, reviewed in [31]. It is to be recalled here that (i) the apolipoprotein E4 (apoE4) allele is a major genetic risk factor for late-onset AD [32], (ii) apoE binds with high affinity extracellular A β (1-42) oligomers and the complex apoE:A β (1–42) has been reported to strongly co-localize with lipid rafts [33], and (iii) apoE4 exacerbates the intraneuronal accumulation of Aβ and plaque deposition in the brain parenchyma [34, 35]. Of note, α 7 nicotinic cholinergic receptor and receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) are other proteins that have been proposed to play a role in neuronal Aß uptake through binding with high affinity extracellular A β O [31].

Briefly, in the brain undergoing degeneration in AD, A β O is produced by neurons and, also, by reactive neurotoxic astrocytes [36, 37]. Thus, A β O dynamics between extracellular and intracellular spaces, i.e., the balance between neuronal uptake and secretion, plays a relevant role in A β O neurotoxicity. Only a few hours of incubation with 2 micromolar of A β (1–42) oligomers is needed to allow for a significant internalization of A β (1–42) in primary cultures of cerebellar granule neurons and in the HT-22 neuronal cell line [38, 39]. Internalized A β (1–40) and A β (1–42) peptides display a widespread intracellular distribution up to the perinuclear region in cerebellar granule neurons [38], in HT-22 cells [39], in differentiated PC12 cells and in rat primary hippocampal neurons [40], and in the neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y [41].

Thus, intracellular proteins that bind A β O with high affinity play a major role in A β -induced neurotoxicity, and antagonists of their interactions with A β O are expected to provide neuroprotection against A β -induced brain neurodegeneration. In the next section of this review, it is highlighted that the interaction of A β O with calmodulin (CaM), one of the intracellular proteins displaying higher affinity to A β O (if not the highest), can play a pivotal role in A β -induced neurotoxicity. The following section points out that highly hydrophobic peptides binding to the COOH-terminus of A β (1–42) inhibit the formation of A β (1–42):CaM complexes, and, also, the aggregation and neurotoxicity of A β . These are called A β -antagonist hydrophobic neuropeptides. The brain endogenous neuropeptides of this type that have been reported to suffer significant variations in AD are analyzed next. Future perspectives on the AD therapeutic potential of A β -antagonist hydrophobic neuropeptides are briefly dealt with in the final section of this review.

CaM and CaM binding proteins are major intracellular targets for intracellular $A\beta O$

Patients with symptoms ranging from mild cognitive impairment to early mild AD suffer a progressive loss of functional synapses in hippocampal and cortical brain regions [42–44]. Neurotransmitter secretion, synaptic plasticity, neurite growth and sprouting, and signaling pathways that mediate the metabolic neuronal responses to many relevant extracellular stimuli are strongly dependent on cytosolic calcium concentration [45, 46]. In addition, mitochondrial Ca^{2+} signaling is altered in familial AD due to mutations in the presenilins [47], and this has been proposed to cause mitochondria dysfunction [48]. Furthermore, it has been shown that mutations in presenilins and APP can produce a substantial increase in the endoplasmic reticulum-mitochondria connectivity through upregulation of the mitochondria-associated endoplasmic reticulum membrane functions, a common feature in both familial and sporadic AD [49, 50]. Indeed, a sustained increase in mitochondrial Ca^{2+} concentration impairs ATP production, increases reactive oxygen species production, and the opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore [51].

Many studies have shown that the activity of systems playing key roles in the control of neuronal intracellular calcium homeostasis and signaling are altered by A β O, reviewed in [52]. It is worth noting here that CaM binding proteins (CaMBPs) are major targets for intracellular A β O, as schematically shown in Figure 1, see also [53]. It is to be highlighted that at normal neuronal resting cytosolic calcium concentrations, i.e., \leq 100 nM, CaM is mainly in the apo-CaM conformation [46]. Therefore, a dysregulation of intracellular calcium homeostasis that raises the cytosolic calcium concentration shifts the apo-CaM/Ca²⁺ -CaM equilibrium towards the Ca²⁺-CaM conformation, which is the CaM conformation that binds to most CaMBPs. This bears a special relevance because, due to the high reactivity and short lifetime of reactive oxygen species produced by iron-A β redox cycling [14, 20], its relative proximity to the iron-A β source will determine the extent of oxidative modifications of these calcium transport systems.

In addition, as briefly explained below, A β O induces a harmful feedback loop that fosters A β production. In vitro experiments have shown that BACE1 is stimulated around 2.5-fold by Ca²⁺-CaM [53, 54]. Moreover, Giliberto et al. [55] showed that the treatment of neuronal and neuroblastoma cells with 1 μ M soluble A β (1–42) increased BACE1 transcription and that this was reverted by an anti-A β (1–42) antibody. It has been suggested that this could be due to A β -induced oxidative stress because this increase



Figure 1. Neuronal intracellular calcium signaling systems whose activity has been shown to be modulated by A β O. See [39, 52] for detailed references of each calcium signaling system modulation by A β O. The encircled + and – mean stimulation and inhibition, respectively. The \uparrow and \downarrow mean increase and decrease, respectively. A β O: amyloid β oligomer; CaMK: calmodulin-dependent kinase; LTCC: L-type calcium channels; LTP: long-term potentiation; NMDAR: *N*-methyl *D*-aspartate receptor; PMCA: plasma membrane Ca²⁺-ATPases; RYR: ryanodine receptor; STIM1: stromal interaction molecule-1

in BACE1 transcription was shown to be mediated by the activity of nuclear factor kappa light-chain enhancer of activated B cells (NF κ B) [56].

Only a few intracellular proteins expressed in neurons are known to bind Aβ peptides with nanomolar dissociation constants. These are cellular prion protein [57], glycogen synthase kinase 3α [58], tau [5], stromal interaction molecule-1 (STIM1) [39], and the EF-hand calcium binding proteins CaM [59], and calbindin-D28k [60]. The nanomolar dissociation constant of the CaM:A β (1-42) complex [in A β (1-42) monomer concentration] reported by Corbacho et al. [59] from fluorescence studies, has been confirmed by Kim et al. [61] using titration microcalorimetry. As these dissociation constants were obtained using solutions of A β O (dimers/trimers), the CaM:A β (1–42) oligomers dissociation constant is < 1 nM A β O [59], implying that CaM is the intracellular protein with the highest affinity for A β O reported until now. This bears a special relevance, because the expression level of CaM in neurons (in the micromolar range) is several orders of magnitude higher than that of the other protein targets displaying a high affinity for $A\beta(1-42)$, with the exception of calbindin-D28k in brain regions more prone to neurodegeneration in AD, namely, pyramidal neurons of hippocampus and in cortical neurons of the central nervous system. Moreover, extensive co-localization of internalized A β (1–42) and CaM in cerebellar granule neurons, and, also, co-immunoprecipitation of CaM with $A\beta(1-42)$ in cell lysates strongly suggested that CaM is a major intracellular sink for A β (1–42) [38]. On these grounds, we have proposed that CaM and calbindin-D28k help to maintain the intracellular free neurotoxic A β concentrations in the low nanomolar range, i.e., serve as A β -trap or A β -buffer system [38, 52, 60].

Highly hydrophobic peptides that bind to the COOH-terminus of A β (1–42) inhibit the formation of A β (1–42):CaM and of A β (1–42):calbindin-D28k complexes, and, also, the aggregation and neurotoxicity of A β

The rational design of peptides to antagonize the interaction of $A\beta O$ with target proteins in the neurons requires the knowledge of the three-dimensional structure of the target proteins with resolution at the atomic level, and, also, the amino acid residues of $A\beta O$ more relevant for the formation of the complex between $A\beta O$ and the target protein. Due to this, soluble target proteins become the first choice for this task, since the three-dimensional structures of only a few membrane-inserted proteins have been resolved at an atomic level, and their conformations can be significantly affected by their interaction with vicinal lipids in the native cell membrane. In addition, the smaller the protein size the better to minimize the possibility of the presence of alternate binding sites with different affinity for $A\beta O$. CaM, a small size soluble target protein with high affinity for $A\beta O$, fulfills these basic criteria.

In addition, CaM seems a good model protein template for the search for peptides that can antagonize A β (1–42) neurotoxicity because the two most recognized neuropathological hallmarks of AD, i.e., A β and tau, bind CaM with high affinity. Moreover, the activities of CaM-dependent kinase II (CaMKII), cyclindependent kinase 5, and glycogen synthase kinase 3 α (protein kinases that contribute to tau hyperphosphorylation in AD) are modulated by CaM [52].

Salazar et al. [60] concluded that hydrophobic interactions drive the formation of the A β (1–42):CaM complex. Extensive docking analysis was performed in Salazar et al. [60] using the three-dimensional structure of CaM saturated by Ca²⁺ [Protein Data Bank file identification code (PDB ID): 1CLL] because Corbacho et al. [59] previously showed that the Ca²⁺-CaM conformation displays more than 10-fold higher affinity for ABO than apo-CaM. Since hydrophobic domains of CaM are more exposed in the Ca²⁺-CaM conformation than in the apo-CaM conformation, the latter result gives experimental support to their critical role in the complexation between CaM and $A\beta(1-42)$. Hydrophobic amino acids of the COOHterminus domain of A β , i.e., 24–42 amino acid residues of A β were identified as those more strongly interacting with CaM using interface analysis of the more probable structures generated by docking for the Aβ(1–42):CaM complex [60]. Using multi-tilt nanoparticle-aided cryo-electron microscopy sampling, Kim et al. [61] have reported that the complexation with $A\beta(1-42)$ elicits structural changes in Ca²⁺-CaM, which is shifted to a structure intermediate between the classical Ca²⁺-CaM and apo-CaM conformations. This provides a rational basis to explain, at least in part, the modulation of CaMBPs by $A\beta(1-42)$, a point that needs further experimental studies to be demonstrated. Another point that merits a brief comment is the presence of several methionines of CaM among the more strongly interacting amino acid residues with $A\beta(1-42)$ in the interface domain of the $A\beta(1-42)$:CaM complex, for example, Met71, Met51, Met36, and Met72 [60]. This location makes these methionines highly prone to oxidation, as the redox cycling of iron bound to A β is a source of reactive oxygen species [14, 20]. Oxidation of CaM's methionines has been shown to impair the activity of CaMBPs [62]. However, to the best of my knowledge, the extent of the oxidation of CaM's methionines in the A^βO-induced dysregulation of CaMBPs remains to be experimentally studied.

Fradinger et al. [63] have shown that COOH-terminus peptides of $A\beta(1-42)$ assemble into $A\beta(1-42)$ oligomers, disrupt oligomer formation, and protect neurons against $A\beta(1-42)$ -induced neurotoxicity. These and other investigators have concluded that the COOH-terminus plays a major role in the formation of $A\beta(1-42)$ oligomers [63, 64]. Also, Fradinger et al. [63] showed that $A\beta(31-42)$ is the most potent inhibitor of $A\beta(1-42)$ -induced neurotoxicity. Other results that highlight the relevance of the hydrophobic amino acid residues close to the COOH-terminus domain in the neurotoxicity of $A\beta$ peptides are that 1 µM of $A\beta(25-35)$ has the same early neurotrophic and late neurotoxic activities as 1 µM of $A\beta(1-40)$, while up to 20 µM $A\beta(1-16)$ and $A\beta(17-28)$ did not show trophic or toxic activity [65]. Moreover, Andreetto et al. [66] concluded from the results obtained using membrane-bound peptide arrays and fluorescence titration assays that the amino acid residues 27-32 and 35-40 of $A\beta(1-40)$ are part of the interacting domain leading to $A\beta(1-40):A\beta(1-40)$ self-association. As the neurofibrillary tangles are a well-established

histopathological hallmark of AD, it is to be recalled here that the A β COOH-terminus binds to multiple tau domains and subsequently forms soluble A β -tau complexes in vitro [5].

Noteworthy, the hydrophobic peptide VFAFAMAFML (amidated-C-terminus amino acid), designed to bind to the A β (1–42) amino acid residues of the COOH-terminus domain strongly interacting with CaM [60], is a potent inhibitor of the formation of A β (1–42):CaM and of A β (1–42):calbindin-D28k complexes. Of value for its potential therapeutic use is that the incubation of HT-22 cells in culture for 24 h with up to 1 micromolar concentration of VFAFAMAFML does not have any significant effect on cell viability, while submicromolar concentrations of this peptide efficiently antagonizes A β (1–42) complexation with CaM and calbindin-D28k [60]. Many alternate sequences of highly hydrophobic amino acids share similar hydrophobicity plots and, also, fit the geometrical constraints of the 3D structure of the 29–42 segment of A β (1–42) [67]. However, it must be noted that highly hydrophobic sequences of 8–10 amino acids are buried within the inner core of proteins. Therefore, these will be accessible to intracellular A β only after an extensive intracellular protein degradation up to peptides of 8–10 amino acid residues. Only the ubiquitinproteasome system, an intracellular system that functions to maintain intracellular proteostasis, fulfills these requirements. Indeed, most of the antigenic peptides of 8–10 amino acids presented by the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I molecules are produced by the proteasome [68, 69], and many of them display a hydrophobicity quite like the above mentioned A β (1–42) antagonist peptide.

Finally, it is worth noting here that peptides able to antagonize the binding of extracellular A β (1–42) and A β (1–40) to proteins involved in their uptake by neurons, like apoE and RAGE, have been shown to ameliorate A β peptide-mediated neuronal disorder [70–73].

Aβ-antagonist hydrophobic neuropeptides

The endogenous neuropeptides that can afford neuroprotection against the toxic actions of $A\beta$ on neurons through binding to $A\beta$ hydrophobic domains are called here $A\beta$ -antagonist hydrophobic neuropeptides, as in [67]. As will be briefly analyzed in this section, many studies have reported the occurrence of significant alterations in the levels of these types of neuropeptides and/or of their receptors in the brain of AD patients in relation to healthy brains of age-matched individuals.

It must be noted that the proteasome activity in the AD brain is lower than in age-matched healthy brains [74, 75]. Therefore, the production of hydrophobic peptides of 8–10 amino acid residues declines in the AD brain. As these peptides are endogenous A β -antagonists, it follows that the AD brain is more prone than a healthy brain to A β -induced neurodegeneration. In addition, the proteasome is the major source of hydrophobic antigenic peptides needed to maintain the normal activity of MHC class I molecules [76], and since A β (1–42) is not a good substrate for cytosolic endopeptidases it may serve as a "chaperone" for these antigenic peptides [68]. Thus, this "chaperone-like" role of A β (1–42) may impair the functional activity of MHC class I molecules in the AD brain, a hypothesis that, due to its potential relevance, deserves to be experimentally assessed.

Substance P [77, 78], islet amyloid polypeptide (IAPP) [79, 80], somatostatin [81, 82], chromogranin A and B-derived peptides [83, 84] and cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated transcript encoded peptides [85] are other A β -antagonist hydrophobic neuropeptides that have been reported to co-localize with A β plaques in brain areas of AD patients.

Substance P was one of the firstly identified Aβ-antagonist hydrophobic neuropeptides. Yankner et al. [65] noticed that there is a high homology between the amino acid sequences of tachykinin peptides and that of Aβ(25–35), and reported that sub-micromolar concentrations of substance P, a tachykinin neuropeptide, inhibit the neurotoxic effects of Aβ(1–40) in hippocampal neurons. The findings that substance P level decreases in cortex, hippocampus, and dentate gyrus of AD patients [86, 87], lend further support to a protective role of substance P against Aβ neurotoxic effects in vivo.

The interaction of intrinsically disordered IAPP with $A\beta(1-42)$ and $A\beta(1-40)$ has been extensively studied, and the amino acid residues of $A\beta$ involved in the formation of the complex $A\beta$:IAPP have been identified, see [66, 88, 89]. And reet o et al. [66] concluded that $A\beta(29-40)$ and $A\beta(25-35)$ are the amino

acid residues of A β peptides acting as the stronger ligands for IAPP. As IAPP is associated with type 2 diabetes, this interaction bears a special biomedical relevance, because epidemiological and pathophysiological evidence point out that the AD and type 2 diabetes are linked diseases [79, 80].

Somatostatin, a small cyclic neuropeptide, is an A β -antagonist hydrophobic peptide selectively enriched in human frontal lobes [81, 82]. The levels of somatostatin in the cerebral cortex decline during aging and this decline is more pronounced in AD [90]. In addition, it has been proposed that this decline in somatostatin levels could produce a reduced clearance of A β , because somatostatin has been shown to induce the release of A β degrading enzymes [91]. Regarding chromogranin A and B-derived peptides, it should be noted that their levels in the cerebrospinal fluid of AD patients have been reported to be altered relative to those found in age-matched controls [92].

Finally, the mitochondrial-derived peptide humanin merits a brief comment, because mitochondrial dysfunction has been associated with AD-induced brain neurodegeneration, see, e.g., [93]. Humanin seems to be a good candidate for A β -antagonist hydrophobic neuropeptide, because it has been reported that humanin interacts with A β oligomers and counteracts A β in vivo toxicity [94].

It must be noted that the relative efficiency of the hydrophobic neuropeptide listed above as $A\beta$ antagonists in early or late stages of AD depends on their dissociation constants from $A\beta$ monomers or oligomers, which is not known at present for all of them. Also, the identification of the amino acid residues of $A\beta$ in the interacting domain with many of these neuropeptides is still poorly defined.

Future perspectives on the AD therapeutic potential of A β -antagonist hydrophobic neuropeptides

During last few years, global neuropeptidome analyses have pointed out that there are significant variations in the levels of brain neuropeptides between AD patients and age-matched individuals. Interindividual variation in the level of hydrophobic endogenous neuropeptides that bind to the COOH-terminus of A β monomers/oligomers is likely to affect the onset of sporadic AD, and, also, the rate of brain damage progression in this disease. Therefore, these endogenous brain neuropeptides may become helpful biomarkers in the evaluation of the risk of the onset of sporadic AD and/or for the prognosis of AD.

In addition, several Aβ-antagonist hydrophobic peptides that bind to the COOH-terminus of Aβ seem, a priori, candidates to become targets for the development of novel therapies against Aβ-induced neurodegenerative diseases. It is important to recall here that the amino acid residues 24–42 of the COOH-terminus domain of Aβ(1–42) play the leading role in the interaction of Aβ(1–42) with the intracellular proteins displaying high affinity for AβO analyzed in this review. As briefly commented in the Introduction, extracellular AβO internalization plays a critical role in Aβ neurotoxicity. Three proteins that bind extracellular AβO with high affinity have been proposed to play an active role in the internalization of extracellular Aβ, namely, apoE4, α 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, and RAGE [31]. Interestingly, the interacting domain of Aβ(1–42) with these proteins comprises amino acid residues 12–28 for apoE4 [95, 96] and α 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor [97], and 17–23 for RAGE [98]. Therefore, it can be foreseen that peptides binding to amino acid residues 12–28 may act synergically with those binding to the 24–42 COOH-terminus of Aβ(1–42) to antagonize Aβ neurotoxicity interfering with Aβ internalization and intracellular Aβ-induced toxic actions, respectively.

Although the efficient delivery of peptides into the brain is still a cumbersome technical issue under active research, recently developed nanocarrier particles show promising advances for the transport across the blood-brain barrier of short peptides directed to brain structures, reviewed in [99]. In addition, considering that AD is a multifactorial disease, a priori, A β -antagonist hydrophobic peptide-based therapy could be used in combination with other currently used therapies.

Conclusions

This review is focused on hydrophobic peptides that have been shown to be antagonists of neurotoxic Aß forms based on studies performed in vitro, ex-vivo with cellular cultures, and in vivo with animal models of AD. Two major conclusions can be reached from these studies: (1) measurements of the brain levels of hydrophobic endogenous neuropeptides that bind to the COOH-terminus domain of $A\beta$ monomers/oligomers may serve as a complementary tool for the prognosis of the onset and course of AD; (2) Aβ-antagonist hydrophobic peptides that bind to the COOH-terminus domain of Aβ provide insights for the development of novel drugs of use in therapeutic treatments against $A\beta$ -induced neurodegenerative diseases. Although these conclusions open new perspectives for the clinical management of AD, further studies are needed to overcome the current limitations for the translational application of these findings. The protection against the loss of the proteasome activity in AD brain, which plays a major role in the production of this type of hydrophobic peptides in the brain, is yet a challenging scientific issue and pharmacological treatments to stimulate the brain proteasome activity are yet to be established. In addition, despite that studies performed with brains of AD patients show that several endogenous hydrophobic neuropeptides co-localize with Aß plaques, it must be noted that specific radiochemical tracers will be needed for the use of neuroimaging tools to monitor regional brain changes of these endogenous neuropeptides during AD progression at the early stages of the disease. Finally, for synthetic peptides, further experimental studies with synthetic peptides in animal models of AD are needed before their use in clinical trials, like development of nanoparticles for their efficient transport across the bloodbrain barrier, pharmacological, toxicological, and pharmacokinetic studies.

Abbreviations

AD: Alzheimer's disease apoE4: apolipoprotein E4 APP: amyloid precursor protein Aβ: amyloid β AβOs: amyloid β oligomers BACE1: β-site amyloid precursor protein cleaving enzyme 1 CaM: calmodulin CaMBPs: calmodulin binding proteins IAPP: islet amyloid polypeptide MHC: major histocompatibility complex RAGE: receptor and receptor for advanced glycation end products

Declarations

Author contributions

CGM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

The author declares that he has no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval

Not applicable.

Consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent to publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Funding Not applicable.

Copyright © The Author(s) 2025.

Publisher's note

Open Exploration maintains a neutral stance on jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliations and maps. All opinions expressed in this article are the personal views of the author(s) and do not represent the stance of the editorial team or the publisher.

References

- Gauthier S, Webster C, Servaes S, Morais JA, Rosa-Neto P. World Alzheimer Report 2022: Life after diagnosis: Navigating treatment, care and support [Internet]. London: Alzheimer's Disease International; c2022 [cited 2024 Dec 26]. Available from: https://www.alzint.org/u/World-Alzheime r-Report-2022.pdf
- 2. Braak H, de Vos RA, Jansen EN, Bratzke H, Braak E. Chapter 20 Neuropathological hallmarks of Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. Prog Brain Res. 1998;117:267–85. [DOI] [PubMed]
- Chen GF, Xu TH, Yan Y, Zhou YR, Jiang Y, Melcher K, et al. Amyloid beta: structure, biology and structure-based therapeutic development. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2017;38:1205–35. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Mirza Z, Pillai VG, Kamal MA. Protein interactions between the C-terminus of Aβ-peptide and phospholipase A₂- a structure biology based approach to identify novel Alzheimer's therapeutics. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets. 2014;13:1224–31. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 5. Guo JP, Arai T, Miklossy J, McGeer PL. Aβ and tau form soluble complexes that may promote self aggregation of both into the insoluble forms observed in Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103:1953–8. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 6. Luan K, Rosales JL, Lee KY. Viewpoint: Crosstalks between neurofibrillary tangles and amyloid plaque formation. Ageing Res Rev. 2013;12:174–81. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 7. Younkin SG. The role of Aβ42 in Alzheimer's disease. J Physiol Paris. 1998;92:289–92. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 8. Sun X, Chen WD, Wang YD. β-Amyloid: the key peptide in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. Front Pharmacol. 2015;6:221. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 9. Sathya M, Premkumar P, Karthick C, Moorthi P, Jayachandran KS, Anusuyadevi M. BACE1 in Alzheimer's disease. Clin Chim Acta. 2012;414:171–8. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 10. Silvestri L, Camaschella C. A potential pathogenetic role of iron in Alzheimer's disease. J Cell Mol Med. 2008;12:1548–50. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Peters DG, Connor JR, Meadowcroft MD. The relationship between iron dyshomeostasis and amyloidogenesis in Alzheimer's disease: Two sides of the same coin. Neurobiol Dis. 2015;81:49–65.
 [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

- 12. Wang F, Wang J, Shen Y, Li H, Rausch WD, Huang X. Iron Dyshomeostasis and Ferroptosis: A New Alzheimer's Disease Hypothesis? Front Aging Neurosci. 2022;14:830569. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Khan A, Dobson JP, Exley C. Redox cycling of iron by Aβ₄₂. Free Radic Biol Med. 2006;40:557–69. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 14. Cheignon C, Tomas M, Bonnefont-Rousselot D, Faller P, Hureau C, Collin F. Oxidative stress and the amyloid beta peptide in Alzheimer's disease. Redox Biol. 2018;14:450–64. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 15. Chew H, Solomon VA, Fonteh AN. Involvement of Lipids in Alzheimer's Disease Pathology and Potential Therapies. Front Physiol. 2020;11:598. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Solomon A, Kivipelto M, Wolozin B, Zhou J, Whitmer RA. Midlife serum cholesterol and increased risk of Alzheimer's and vascular dementia three decades later. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord. 2009;28: 75–80. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 17. Loera-Valencia R, Goikolea J, Parrado-Fernandez C, Merino-Serrais P, Maioli S. Alterations in cholesterol metabolism as a risk factor for developing Alzheimer's disease: Potential novel targets for treatment. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2019;190:104–14. [DOI] [PubMed]
- Grösgen S, Grimm MO, Friess P, Hartmann T. Role of amyloid beta in lipid homeostasis. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010;1801:966–74. [DOI] [PubMed]
- Zhang X, Zhou K, Wang R, Cui J, Lipton SA, Liao FF, et al. Hypoxia-inducible Factor 1α (HIF-1α)mediated Hypoxia Increases BACE1 Expression and β-Amyloid Generation. J Biol Chem. 2007;282: 10873–80. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 20. Rottkamp CA, Raina AK, Zhu X, Gaier E, Bush AI, Atwood CS, et al. Redox-active iron mediates amyloidβ toxicity. Free Radic Biol Med. 2001;30:447–50. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 21. Bazzari FH, Bazzari AH. BACE1 Inhibitors for Alzheimer's Disease: The Past, Present and Any Future? Molecules. 2022;27:8823. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 22. Ramakrishna K, Karuturi P, Siakabinga Q, T.A G, Krishnamurthy S, Singh S, et al. A G, Krishnamurthy S, Singh S, et al. Indole-3 Carbinol and Diindolylmethane Mitigated β-Amyloid-Induced Neurotoxicity and Acetylcholinesterase Enzyme Activity: In Silico, In Vitro, and Network Pharmacology Study. Diseases. 2024;12:184. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Ramakrishna K, Nalla LV, Naresh D, Venkateswarlu K, Viswanadh MK, Nalluri BN, et al. WNT-β Catenin Signaling as a Potential Therapeutic Target for Neurodegenerative Diseases: Current Status and Future Perspective. Diseases. 2023;11:89. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 24. Millucci L, Ghezzi L, Bernardini G, Santucci A. Conformations and biological activities of amyloid beta peptide 25-35. Curr Protein Pept Sci. 2010;11:54–67. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 25. Knobloch M, Konietzko U, Krebs DC, Nitsch RM. Intracellular Aβ and cognitive deficits precede βamyloid deposition in transgenic arcAβ mice. Neurobiol Aging. 2007;28:1297–306. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 26. Oakley H, Cole SL, Logan S, Maus E, Shao P, Craft J, et al. Intraneuronal β-Amyloid Aggregates, Neurodegeneration, and Neuron Loss in Transgenic Mice with Five Familial Alzheimer's Disease Mutations: Potential Factors in Amyloid Plaque Formation. J Neurosci. 2006;26:10129–40. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 27. Billings LM, Oddo S, Green KN, McGaugh JL, LaFerla FM. Intraneuronal Aβ Causes the Onset of Early Alzheimer's Disease-Related Cognitive Deficits in Transgenic Mice. Neuron. 2005;45:675–88. [DOI] [PubMed]
- Jin S, Kedia N, Illes-Toth E, Haralampiev I, Prisner S, Herrmann A, et al. Amyloid-β(1-42) Aggregation Initiates Its Cellular Uptake and Cytotoxicity. J Biol Chem. 2016;291:19590–606. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 29. Hu X, Crick SL, Bu G, Frieden C, Pappu RV, Lee JM. Amyloid seeds formed by cellular uptake, concentration, and aggregation of the amyloid-beta peptide. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106: 20324–9. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 30. Karlawish J, Grill JD. The approval of Aduhelm risks eroding public trust in Alzheimer research and the FDA. Nat Rev Neurol. 2021;17:523–4. [DOI] [PubMed]

- 31. Lai AY, McLaurin J. Mechanisms of amyloid-Beta Peptide uptake by neurons: the role of lipid rafts and lipid raft-associated proteins. Int J Alzheimers Dis. 2010;2011:548380. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 32. Potter H, Wisniewski T. Apolipoprotein e: essential catalyst of the Alzheimer amyloid cascade. Int J Alzheimers Dis. 2012;2012:489428. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Kawarabayashi T, Shoji M, Younkin LH, Wen-Lang L, Dickson DW, Murakami T, et al. Dimeric Amyloid β Protein Rapidly Accumulates in Lipid Rafts followed by Apolipoprotein E and Phosphorylated Tau Accumulation in the Tg2576 Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. J Neurosci. 2004;24:3801–9. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 34. Wisniewski T, Frangione B. Apolipoprotein E: a pathological chaperone protein in patients with cerebral and systemic amyloid. Neurosci Lett. 1992;135:235–8. [DOI] [PubMed]
- Huynh TV, Davis AA, Ulrich JD, Holtzman DM. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer's disease: the influence of apolipoprotein E on amyloid-β and other amyloidogenic proteins. J Lipid Res. 2017;58:824–36.
 [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 36. Frost GR, Li YM. The role of astrocytes in amyloid production and Alzheimer's disease. Open Biol. 2017;7:170228. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Liang Y, Raven F, Ward JF, Zhen S, Zhang S, Sun H, et al. Upregulation of Alzheimer's Disease Amyloidβ Protein Precursor in Astrocytes Both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. J Alzheimers Dis. 2020;76:1071–82. [DOI]
 [PubMed] [PMC]
- Poejo J, Salazar J, Mata AM, Gutierrez-Merino C. Binding of Amyloid β(1–42)-Calmodulin Complexes to Plasma Membrane Lipid Rafts in Cerebellar Granule Neurons Alters Resting Cytosolic Calcium Homeostasis. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22:1984. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 39. Poejo J, Orantos-Aguilera Y, Martin-Romero FJ, Mata AM, Gutierrez-Merino C. Internalized Amyloid-β (1-42) Peptide Inhibits the Store-Operated Calcium Entry in HT-22 Cells. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:12678.
 [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 40. Kandimalla KK, Scott OG, Fulzele S, Davidson MW, Poduslo JF. Mechanism of Neuronal versus Endothelial Cell Uptake of Alzheimer's Disease Amyloid β Protein. PLoS One. 2009;4:e4627. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Wesén E, Jeffries GDM, Matson Dzebo M, Esbjörner EK. Endocytic uptake of monomeric amyloid-β peptides is clathrin- and dynamin-independent and results in selective accumulation of Aβ(1–42) compared to Aβ(1–40). Sci Rep. 2017;7:2021. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 42. LaFerla FM. Calcium dyshomeostasis and intracellular signalling in Alzheimer's disease. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2002;3:862–72. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 43. Scheff SW, Price DA, Schmitt FA, DeKosky ST, Mufson EJ. Synaptic alterations in CA1 in mild Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment. Neurology. 2007;68:1501–8. [DOI] [PubMed]
- Shankar GM, Li S, Mehta TH, Garcia-Munoz A, Shepardson NE, Smith I, et al. Amyloid-β protein dimers isolated directly from Alzheimer's brains impair synaptic plasticity and memory. Nat Med. 2008;14: 837–42. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 45. Berridge MJ. Neuronal calcium signaling. Neuron. 1998;21:13–26. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 46. Gutierrez-Merino C, Marques-Da-Silva D, Fortalezas S, Samhan-Arias AK. Cytosolic calcium homeostasis in neurons: Control systems, modulation by reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, and space and time fluctuations. In: Heinbockel T, editor. Neurochemistry. Rijeka: InTech; 2014. pp. 59-110.
- Magi S, Castaldo P, Macrì ML, Maiolino M, Matteucci A, Bastioli G, et al. Intracellular Calcium Dysregulation: Implications for Alzheimer's Disease. Biomed Res Int. 2016;2016:6701324. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Toglia P, Cheung KH, Mak DO, Ullah G. Impaired mitochondrial function due to familial Alzheimer's disease-causing presenilins mutants via Ca²⁺ disruptions. Cell Calcium. 2016;59:240–50. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

- Area-Gomez E, Del Carmen Lara Castillo M, Tambini MD, Guardia-Laguarta C, de Groof AJ, Madra M, et al. Upregulated function of mitochondria-associated ER membranes in Alzheimer disease. EMBO J. 2012;31:4106–23. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 50. Schon EA, Area-Gomez E. Mitochondria-associated ER membranes in Alzheimer disease. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2013;55:26–36. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 51. Ryan KC, Ashkavand Z, Norman KR. The Role of Mitochondrial Calcium Homeostasis in Alzheimer's and Related Diseases. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21:9153. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Poejo J, Salazar J, Mata AM, Gutierrez-Merino C. The Relevance of Amyloid β-Calmodulin Complexation in Neurons and Brain Degeneration in Alzheimer's Disease. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22:4976. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 53. O'Day DH, Eshak K, Myre MA. Calmodulin Binding Proteins and Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 2015;46:553–69. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 54. Chavez SE, O'Day DH. Calmodulin binds to and regulates the activity of beta-secretase (BACE1). Curr Res Alzheimers Dis. 2007;1:37–47.
- 55. Giliberto L, Borghi R, Piccini A, Mangerini R, Sorbi S, Cirmena G, et al. Mutant presenilin 1 increases the expression and activity of BACE1. J Biol Chem. 2009;284:9027–38. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 56. Buggia-Prevot V, Sevalle J, Rossner S, Checler F. NFκB-dependent Control of *BACE1* Promoter Transactivation by Aβ42. J Biol Chem. 2008;283:10037–47. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 57. Laurén J, Gimbel DA, Nygaard HB, Gilbert JW, Strittmatter SM. Cellular prion protein mediates impairment of synaptic plasticity by amyloid-β oligomers. Nature. 2009;457:1128–32. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 58. Dunning CJ, McGauran G, Willén K, Gouras GK, O'Connell DJ, Linse S. Direct High Affinity Interaction between Aβ42 and GSK3α Stimulates Hyperphosphorylation of Tau. A New Molecular Link in Alzheimer's Disease? ACS Chem Neurosci. 2016;7:161–70. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Corbacho I, Berrocal M, Török K, Mata AM, Gutierrez-Merino C. High affinity binding of amyloid βpeptide to calmodulin: Structural and functional implications. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2017; 486:992–7. [DOI] [PubMed]
- Salazar J, Poejo J, Mata AM, Samhan-Arias AK, Gutierrez-Merino C. Design and Experimental Evaluation of a Peptide Antagonist against Amyloid β(1–42) Interactions with Calmodulin and Calbindin-D28k. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:2289. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 61. Kim C, Kim Y, Lee SJ, Yun SR, Choi J, Kim SO, et al. Visualizing Heterogeneous Protein Conformations with Multi-Tilt Nanoparticle-Aided Cryo-Electron Microscopy Sampling. Nano Lett. 2023;23:334–43. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- Bigelow DJ, Squier TC. Redox modulation of cellular signaling and metabolism through reversible oxidation of methionine sensors in calcium regulatory proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2005;1703: 121–34. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 63. Fradinger EA, Monien BH, Urbanc B, Lomakin A, Tan M, Li H, et al. C-terminal peptides coassemble into Aβ42 oligomers and protect neurons against Aβ42-induced neurotoxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105:14175–80. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 64. Gessel MM, Wu C, Li H, Bitan G, Shea JE, Bowers MT. Aβ(39–42) modulates Aβ oligomerization but not fibril formation. Biochemistry. 2012;51:108–17. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 65. Yankner BA, Duffy LK, Kirschner DA. Neurotrophic and Neurotoxic Effects of Amyloid β Protein: Reversal by Tachykinin Neuropeptides. Science. 1990;250:279–82. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 66. Andreetto E, Yan LM, Tatarek-Nossol M, Velkova A, Frank R, Kapurniotu A. Identification of Hot Regions of the Aβ–IAPP Interaction Interface as High-Affinity Binding Sites in both Cross- and Self-Association. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2010;49:3081–5. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 67. Gutierrez-Merino C. Brain Hydrophobic Peptides Antagonists of Neurotoxic Amyloid β Peptide Monomers/Oligomers–Protein Interactions. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24:13846. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

- 68. Rammensee HG, Friede T, Stevanoviíc S. MHC ligands and peptide motifs: first listing. Immunogenetics. 1995;41:178–228. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 69. Rock KL, Goldberg AL. Degradation of cell proteins and the generation of MHC class I-presented peptides. Annu Rev Immunol. 1999;17:739–79. [DOI] [PubMed]
- Sadowski M, Pankiewicz J, Scholtzova H, Ripellino JA, Li Y, Schmidt SD, et al. A Synthetic Peptide Blocking the Apolipoprotein E/β-Amyloid Binding Mitigates β-Amyloid Toxicity and Fibril Formation in Vitro and Reduces β-Amyloid Plaques in Transgenic Mice. Am J Pathol. 2004;165:937–48. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 71. Liu S, Park S, Allington G, Prelli F, Sun Y, Martá-Ariza M, et al. Targeting Apolipoprotein E/Amyloid β Binding by Peptoid CPO_Aβ17-21 P Ameliorates Alzheimer's Disease Related Pathology and Cognitive Decline. Sci Rep. 2017;7:8009. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 72. Cai C, Dai X, Zhu Y, Lian M, Xiao F, Dong F, et al. A specific RAGE-binding peptide biopanning from phage display random peptide library that ameliorates symptoms in amyloid β peptide-mediated neuronal disorder. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2016;100:825–35. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 73. Tolstova AP, Adzhubei AA, Mitkevich VA, Petrushanko IY, Makarov AA. Docking and Molecular Dynamics-Based Identification of Interaction between Various Beta-Amyloid Isoforms and RAGE Receptor. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:11816. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 74. Keck S, Nitsch R, Grune T, Ullrich O. Proteasome inhibition by paired helical filament-tau in brains of patients with Alzheimer's disease. J Neurochem. 2003;85:115–22. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 75. Chondrogianni N, Petropoulos I, Franceschi C, Friguet B, Gonos ES. Fibroblast cultures from healthy centenarians have an active proteasome. Exp Gerontol. 2000;35:721–8. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 76. Rock KL, York IA, Goldberg AL. Post-proteasomal antigen processing for major histocompatibility complex class I presentation. Nat Immunol. 2004;5:670–7. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 77. Armstrong DM, Benzing WC, Evans J, Terry RD, Shields D, Hansen LA. Substance P and somatostatin coexist within neuritic plaques: implications for the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. Neuroscience. 1989;31:663–71. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 78. Willis M, Hutter-Paier B, Wietzorrek G, Windisch M, Humpel C, Knaus HG, et al. Localization and expression of substance P in transgenic mice overexpressing human APP751 with the London (V717I) and Swedish (K670M/N671L) mutations. Brain Res. 2007;1143:199–207. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 79. Oskarsson ME, Paulsson JF, Schultz SW, Ingelsson M, Westermark P, Westermark GT. *In vivo* seeding and cross-seeding of localized amyloidosis: a molecular link between type 2 diabetes and Alzheimer disease. Am J Pathol. 2015;185:834–46. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 80. Lutz TA, Meyer U. Amylin at the interface between metabolic and neurodegenerative disorders. Front Neurosci. 2015;9:216. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 81. Wang H, Muiznieks LD, Ghosh P, Williams D, Solarski M, Fang A, et al. Somatostatin binds to the human amyloid β peptide and favors the formation of distinct oligomers. Elife. 2017;6:e28401. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 82. Solarski M, Wang H, Wille H, Schmitt-Ulms G. Somatostatin in Alzheimer's disease: A new Role for an Old Player. Prion. 2018;12:1–8. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 83. Lechner T, Adlassnig C, Humpel C, Kaufmann WA, Maier H, Reinstadler-Kramer K, et al. Chromogranin peptides in Alzheimer's disease. Exp Gerontol. 2004;39:101–13. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 84. Willis M, Leitner I, Jellinger KA, Marksteiner J. Chromogranin peptides in brain diseases. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2011;118:727–35. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 85. Jin JL, Liou AK, Shi Y, Yin KL, Chen L, Li LL, et al. CART treatment improves memory and synaptic structure in APP/PS1 mice. Sci Rep. 2015;5:10224. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 86. Bouras C, Vallet PG, Hof PR, Charnay Y, Golaz J, Constantinidis J. Substance P immunoreactivity in Alzheimer disease: a study in cases presenting symmetric or asymmetric cortical atrophy. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord. 1990;4:24–34. [PubMed]

- 87. Quigley BJ Jr, Kowall NW. Substance P-like immunoreactive neurons are depleted in Alzheimer's disease cerebral cortex. Neuroscience. 1991;41:41–60. [DOI] [PubMed]
- Yan LM, Velkova A, Kapurniotu A. Molecular characterization of the hetero-assembly of β-amyloid peptide with islet amyloid polypeptide. Curr Pharm Des. 2014;20:1182–91. [DOI] [PubMed]
- Bakou M, Hille K, Kracklauer M, Spanopoulou A, Frost CV, Malideli E, et al. Key aromatic/hydrophobic amino acids controlling a cross-amyloid peptide interaction *versus* amyloid self-assembly. J Biol Chem. 2017;292:14587–602. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 90. Davies P, Katzman R, Terry RD. Reduced somatostatin-like immunoreactivity in cerebral cortex from cases of Alzheimer disease and Alzheimer senile dementa. Nature. 1980;288:279–80. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 91. Hama E, Saido TC. Etiology of sporadic Alzheimer's disease: Somatostatin, neprilysin, and amyloid β peptide. Med Hypotheses. 2005;65:498–500. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 92. Jahn H, Wittke S, Zürbig P, Raedler TJ, Arlt S, Kellmann M, et al. Peptide fingerprinting of Alzheimer's disease in cerebrospinal fluid: identification and prospective evaluation of new synaptic biomarkers. PLoS One. 2011;6:e26540. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 93. Miller B, Kim SJ, Kumagai H, Yen K, Cohen P. Mitochondria-derived peptides in aging and healthspan. J Clin Invest. 2022;132:e158449. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 94. Romeo M, Stravalaci M, Beeg M, Rossi A, Fiordaliso F, Corbelli A, et al. Humanin Specifically Interacts with Amyloid-β Oligomers and Counteracts Their *in vivo* Toxicity. J Alzheimers Dis. 2017;57:857–71.
 [DOI] [PubMed]
- 95. Strittmatter WJ, Saunders AM, Schmechel D, Pericak-Vance M, Enghild J, Salvesen GS, et al. Apolipoprotein E: high-avidity binding to beta-amyloid and increased frequency of type 4 allele in late-onset familial Alzheimer disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1993;90:1977–81. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 96. Strittmatter WJ, Weisgraber KH, Huang DY, Dong LM, Salvesen GS, Pericak-Vance M, et al. Binding of human apolipoprotein E to synthetic amyloid beta peptide: isoform-specific effects and implications for late-onset Alzheimer disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1993;90:8098–102. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
- 97. Wang HY, Lee DH, D'Andrea MR, Peterson PA, Shank RP, Reitz AB. β-Amyloid₁₋₄₂ Binds to α7 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor with High Affinity. Implications for Alzheimer's disease pathology. J Biol Chem. 2000;275:5626–32. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 98. Gospodarska E, Kupniewska-Kozak A, Goch G, Dadlez M. Binding studies of truncated variants of the Aβ peptide to the V-domain of the RAGE receptor reveal Aβ residues responsible for binding. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2011;1814:592–609. [DOI] [PubMed]
- 99. Wang C, Shao S, Li N, Zhang Z, Zhang H, Liu B. Advances in Alzheimer's Disease-Associated Aβ Therapy Based on Peptide. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24:13110. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]