

Developing a multi-variate prediction model for COVID-19 from crowd-sourced respiratory voice data

Yuyang Yan^{1*}, Wafaa Aljbawi¹, Sami O. Simons², Visara Urovi¹

¹Institute of Data Science, Maastricht University, 6229 EN Maastricht, The Netherlands

²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht University, 6229 HX Maastricht, The Netherlands

Table S1. Patient characteristics in the Coswara dataset

Attribute	Variable	Value	Count	Percentage
Demographics	Gender	Female	814	31.0%
		Male	1808	68.9%
		Other	2	0.1%
	Age	Max age	99	
		Min age	1	
		Avg age	35	
Symptoms		Cold	452	17.2%
		Cough	605	23.0%
		Diarrhoea	52	2.0%
		Fever	379	14.4%
		Loss of smell	162	6.2%
		Muscle pain	309	11.8%
		Breathing difficulty	206	7.9%
		Fatigue	367	14.0%
		Sore throat	278	10.6%
		Other existing conditions	96	3.7%
Prior Medical History		Hypertension	224	8.5%
		Diabetes	223	8.5%
		Ischemic Heart Disease	37	1.4%
		Asthma	132	5.0%
		Chronic lung disease	42	1.6%
		Pneumonia	44	1.7%
		Other respiratory illnesses	69	2.6%
		No listed medical history	2093	79.8%
Smoking Status		Non-smoker	2418	92.1%
		Smoker	206	7.9%
COVID-19 test result		Healthy	1405	53.5%
		Positive-mild	424	16.2%
		No-resp-illness-exposed	247	9.4%
		Positive-moderate	164	6.3%
		Resp-illness-not-identified	152	5.8%
		Recovered-full	145	5.5%
		Positive-asymp	87	3.3%

Table S2. Results from 10 folds of Logistic Regression (LR) in Table 2

Logistic Regression	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Fold 1	0.84	0.29	0.97	0.67	0.85
Fold 2	0.85	0.5	0.93	0.64	0.89
Fold 3	0.81	0.29	0.93	0.5	0.85
Fold 4	0.86	0.36	0.98	0.83	0.87
Fold 5	0.86	0.29	1	1	0.86
Fold 6	0.82	0.33	0.95	0.62	0.85
Fold 7	0.89	0.5	0.98	0.88	0.89
Fold 8	0.82	0.36	0.93	0.56	0.86
Fold 9	0.90	0.57	0.98	0.89	0.9
Fold 10	0.83	0.29	0.97	0.67	0.85

Table S3. Results from 10 folds of Support Vector Machine (SVM) in Table 2

Support Vector Machine	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Fold 1	0.85	0.21	1	1	0.84
Fold 2	0.88	0.43	0.98	1	0.88
Fold 3	0.84	0.14	1	0.86	0.83
Fold 4	0.86	0.29	1	1	0.86
Fold 5	0.85	0.43	1	1	0.84
Fold 6	0.78	0.21	0.98	0	0.79
Fold 7	0.89	0	1	1	0.88
Fold 8	0.85	0.43	1	1	0.84
Fold 9	0.86	0.21	1	1	0.85
Fold 10	0.88	0.29	0.98	0.86	0.88

Table S4. Results from 10 folds of CNN model (MFCC images) in Table 2

CNN (MFCC images)	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Fold 1	0.85	0.21	1	1	0.84
Fold 2	0.77	0.36	0.86	0.38	0.85
Fold 3	0.74	0.5	0.8	0.37	0.87
Fold 4	0.62	0.29	0.69	0.18	0.8
Fold 5	0.41	0.93	0.29	0.24	0.94
Fold 6	0.74	0.2	0.88	0.3	0.81
Fold 7	0.68	0.43	0.74	0.29	0.84
Fold 8	0.79	0	0.98	0	0.8
Fold 9	0.76	0	0.95	0	0.8
Fold 10	0.81	0	1	0	0.81

Table S5. Results from 10 folds of LSTM in Table 2

LSTM	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Fold 1	0.86	0.43	0.97	0.75	0.88
Fold 2	0.85	0.57	0.92	0.62	0.9
Fold 3	0.86	0.36	0.98	0.83	0.87
Fold 4	0.89	0.57	0.97	0.8	0.9
Fold 5	0.84	0.43	0.93	0.6	0.87
Fold 6	0.84	0.33	0.97	0.71	0.85
Fold 7	0.89	0.57	0.97	0.8	0.9
Fold 8	0.85	0.29	0.98	0.8	0.85
Fold 9	0.9	0.57	0.98	0.89	0.9
Fold 10	0.81	0.57	0.9	0.5	0.87

Table S6. Results from 10 folds of CNN model (Mel-spectrogram) in Table 2

CNN (Mel-spectrogram)	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Fold 1	0.85	0.36	0.97	0.71	0.86
Fold 2	0.85	0.5	0.93	0.64	0.89
Fold 3	0.82	0.71	0.85	0.53	0.93
Fold 4	0.84	0.36	0.95	0.62	0.86
Fold 5	0.79	0.21	0.93	0.43	0.83
Fold 6	0.90	0.53	1	1	0.89
Fold 7	0.78	0.5	0.84	0.44	0.88
Fold 8	0.90	1	0.88	0.67	1
Fold 9	0.88	1	0.84	0.61	1
Fold 10	0.90	1	0.88	0.67	1

Table S7. Results from 10 folds of HuBERT model in Table 2

HuBERT	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Fold 1	0.82	0.90	0.78	0.68	0.94
Fold 2	0.82	0.94	0.76	0.67	0.96
Fold 3	0.89	0.81	0.93	0.86	0.90
Fold 4	0.88	0.73	0.95	0.88	0.88
Fold 5	0.90	0.80	0.95	0.89	0.90
Fold 6	0.84	0.77	0.88	0.77	0.89
Fold 7	0.85	0.71	0.93	0.85	0.85
Fold 8	0.92	0.94	0.91	0.85	0.96
Fold 9	0.87	0.71	0.95	0.88	0.86
Fold 10	0.85	0.71	0.93	0.85	0.86